

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,345	07/14/2005	Arno Lange	274527US0PCT	2705	
OBLON SPIV	7590 09/24/200 'AK MCCLELLAND	8 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAM	IINER	
1940 DUKE S'	TREET		SHIAO, R	SHIAO, REI TSANG ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT		
			1626		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/24/2008	EI ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary Examiner

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/542,345 LANGE ET AL. Art Unit

	REI-TSANG SHIAO	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 13 after SNI (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum shallotop period w Failure to reply within the act or extended period for reply with graduals. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing- canned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,70(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirting ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14. Ju 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This : 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Example.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	pted or b) objected to by the Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/14/05.11/03/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/14/05,11/03/05.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,345 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application claims benefit of the foreign application:

GERMANY 103 02 626.6 with a filing date 01/23/2003.

2. Claims 1-19 are pending in the application.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant's Information Disclosure Statements, filed on July 14, 2005, and
 November 03, 2005 have been considered. Please refer to Applicant's copies of the
 1449's submitted herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,345

Art Unit: 1626

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Koening et al. CAS: 117:151079.

Applicant claim compounds of formula (I), wherein the variable R1 represents formula (II), the variable R4 or R5 independently represents formula (III), and the variable R2 represents polyisobutene (e.g. isobutene radical) see claim 1.

<u>Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)</u>

Koening et al. disclose a compound, i.e.,

<u>Determination of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP</u> §2141.02) Art Unit: 1626

The difference between the instant claims and Koening et al. is that the instant variable R2 represents a isobutene radical, while Koening et al. represents tert-butyl at the same position.

Finding of prima facie obviousness-rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2143)

One having ordinary skill in the art would find the instant claims 1-19 prima facie obvious **because** one would be motivated to employ compounds of Koening et al., wherein the variable R1 represents formula (II), the variable R4 or R5 independently represents formula (III), and the variable R2 represents polyisobutene (e.g. isobutene radical). Nothing unobvious is seen in substituting the known claimed isomer for the structurally similar isomer (i.e., structure isomers of isobutene or tert-butyl), as taught by Koening et al., since such structurally related compounds suggest one another and would be expected to share common properties (i.e., compositions) absent a showing of unexpected results, see *In re Norris*, 84 USPQ 458 (1950). Dependent claims 2-19 are also rejected along with claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The motivation to obtain the claimed catalyst derives from known Koening et al. compounds would possess similar activity (i.e., compositions) to that which is claimed in the reference.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rei-tsang Shiao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0707. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/REI-TSANG SHIAO /

Rei-tsang Shiao, Ph.D. Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626